

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/593,611	TREMAUDANT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	TERRANCE KENERLY	2834	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) TERRANCE KENERLY.

(2) Paul Sorkin.

**Date of Interview:** 15 October 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*rejection of claim 1 and 19*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 17, and 19*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*USPGPub 2004/0021381 A1 and US 5,514,924*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner's Amendment : Examiner suggested the add the language "stacked in a direction parallel to the axial length of the rotor" to claim 19 and attorney Paul Sorkin agreed. A possible cancellation of claim 16 was discussed as well.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:15 a.m.

/Quyen Leung/

SPE< Art Unit 2834

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)